



EUROPEAN COMMISSION
EUROSTAT

Quality Report for the Labour Force Survey AD HOC MODULE 2007

Administrative information relating to the LFS AHM

Note: in all the report, yellow parts are for MS filling in.

Administrative information (to complete)

Country	
Statistical product (name)	Labour Force Survey Ad Hoc Module Transition from work into retirement
Reference period	2007
Reference quarter(s): Q2 or Q1-Q4	Q1-Q4
Contact person(s) for the present report	Liliane Reichmann

Abbreviations used in the report (to complete)

[illegible]

Design and methods used for the LFS AHM

AHM sample of the persons interviewed (if smaller than the core sample)

Selection of the sub-sample	No sub-sample. All persons fulfilling the filter criteria were interviewed
Size of sub-sample	
Sub-sample representativeness over the year (survey period)	

AHM weighting factors

Appliance of the annual core weights (col.183-188)	Yes
Appliance of the quarterly core weights (col.189-194)	
No specific weights were defined for the ahm by regulation 341/2006, but did you use any specific weights?	
If specific weights were applied, please give a brief description of the calculation method	

AHM data collection

Is the module questionnaire separated from the LFS core questionnaire, i.e. asked after all core questions ?	Yes
Is the participation voluntary/optional or compulsory ?	voluntary
Are proxy interviews allowed	Yes
Average interview duration per person (in minutes)	3.5

Number of questions per variable

Col. 209	1
Col. 210	1
Col. 211/212	1
Col. 213	1
Col. 214	1
Col. 215/216	1
Col. 217	1
Col. 218/219	1
Col. 220	1
Col. 221	1
Col. 222	1

Additional questions in the module beyond those required by Commission Regulation No 341/2006

Do to a national request from our Ministry of Labour some questions about stress were added (see questionnaire attached , sorry only available in french)

Description of the main national problems encountered when implementing the ad hoc module

Recommendations for improvement of such a module in the future

Transcoding of the national questionnaire to the AHM

no special transcoding for the variables

Ad hoc module		National derivation
Col 209	0	
	1	
	2	
	9	
Col 210	1	
	2	
	9	
Col 211/212	00	
	01	
	02	
	03	
	04	
	05	
	06	
	07	
	08	
	09	
	10	
	99	
Col 213	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	9	
Col 214	0	
	1	
	2	
	9	
Col 215/216	00	
	01	
	02	
	03	
	04	
	05	
	06	
	07	
	08	
	09	
	10	
	99	
Col 217	0	
	1	
	2	
	9	
Col 218/219	00	
	01	
	02	

	03	
	04	
	05	
	06	
	07	
	08	
	09	
	99	
Col 220	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	9	
Col 221	1	
	2	
	3	
	9	
Col 222	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	
	9	

Relevancy and completeness of the LFS AHM

Which variables of the Commission Regulation No 341/2006 were omitted and why?

Col 209	Reason
	Na
Col 210	
Col 211-212	
Col 213	
Col 214	
Col 215-216	
Col 217	
Col 218-219	
Col 220	
Col 221	
Col 222	

Accuracy of the LFS AHM

Coefficient of variation

NB: for the calculation of the CV it is necessary to take into account the design effect.

	Number of persons employed or having been employed during past 12 months and having had at least one accidental injury during last 12 months (C209 = 1-2)	Number of persons employed or having been employed and having suffered from at least one work-related illness during last 12 months (C214 = 1-2)	Number of persons employed reporting particular exposure to harmful factors (mental well-being) at work (C221 = 1-3)	Number of persons employed reporting particular exposure to harmful factors (physical health) at work (C222 = 1-4)
Estimate	7 354	14 045	12 266	14 908
CV	6.2%	4.6%	5%	4.6%

Comments	
----------	--

Assessment of bias for each module variable (if any)

Col. 209	
Col. 210	
Col. 211/212	
Col. 213	
Col. 214	
Col. 215/216	
Col. 217	
Col. 218/219	
Col. 220	
Col. 221	
Col. 222	

Publication thresholds

Minimum size of sub-population for which results must be flagged because of diminished reliability	1200
Minimum size of sub-population for which results must be suppressed because of diminished reliability	500

Comments	
----------	--

Pilot survey or laboratory tests of the LF_{Na}

Date of the pilot survey in order to test the module questionnaire	
Number of respondents to this pilot module survey	
Date and brief description of the laboratory tests in order to test the module questionnaire	
Number of respondents to the laboratory tests	

Comments	
----------	--

Training of interviewers

No special training (Y/N)	N
Written instructions (Y/N)	Y
Meeting of interviewers (Y/N)	N
Training course (Number of hours/N= no course)	N

Comments	
----------	--

Item non-response

Variable	Imputed (Y/N)?	If Y, imputation rate	Comments
Col. 209	N		
Col. 210	N		
Col. 211/212	N		
Col. 213	N		
Col. 214	N		
Col. 215/216	N		
Col. 217	N		

Col. 218/219	<i>N</i>		
Col. 220	<i>N</i>		
Col. 221	<i>N</i>		
Col. 222	<i>N</i>		

Timeliness and punctuality related to the LFS AHM

Reference period, transmission date and coverage

<i>Main dates in the national production process</i>			
<i>Date of data collection beginning</i>	<i>End-date of the quality checks for statistics requested by Eurostat</i>	<i>Module data transmission dates to Eurostat</i>	<i>Date of national publication</i>
4th week in January	middle of June	22.06.2007	NA
4th week in April	middle of September	26.09.2007	results will be published in a national publication in september/october 2008
4th week in July	middle of December	11.12.2007	
4th week in Octobre	first week March	21.03.2008	

Reason for late delivery to Eurostat (if any)

<i>Describe reasons for late delivery mentioning all bottle-necks</i>

Accessibility and clarity of the LFS AHM data

References to ad hoc module results dissemination

<i>publication "bulletin" in september/october</i>

References to methodological and data accompanying information

Availability of the micro-data to researchers or other users

<i>Restricted access to anonymised micro-data is given to researchers under secured conditions within Statec.</i>

Further assistance available to users

<i>Tables are provided to users.</i>

Comparability

Definition variations (if any)

Variables	Describe the variation in concepts compared to the explanatory notes or to the Commission Regulation No 341/2006 (including translation variations)	Impact on the statistics
Col. 209	None	
Col. 210	None	
Col. 211/212	None	
Col. 213	None	
Col. 214	None	
Col. 215/216	None	
Col. 217	None	
Col. 218/219	None	
Col. 220	None	
Col. 221	None	
Col. 222	None	

Codification variations (if any)

Variables	Describe the variation in coding compared to the Commission Regulation No 341/2006	Impact on the statistics
Col. 209	None	
Col. 210	None	
Col. 211/212	None	
Col. 213	None	
Col. 214	None	
Col. 215/216	None	
Col. 217	None	
Col. 218/219	None	
Col. 220	None	
Col. 221	None	
Col. 222	None	

Target population variation (if any)

Variables	Describe the target population differences compared to the Commission Regulation No 341/2006	Impact on the statistics
Col. 209	None	
Col. 210	None	
Col. 211/212	None	
Col. 213	None	
Col. 214	None	
Col. 215/216	None	
Col. 217	None	
Col. 218/219	None	
Col. 220	None	
Col. 221	None	
Col. 222	None	

Coherence

**Coherence of LFS AHM characteristics measured
when compared to external or other data sources**

Characteristic	Description of differences in concepts	Description of differences in measurements	Description of other possible differences	Assessment of causes of these differences
NA				